

Date: 26.11.2024

To,
BSE Limited,
P.J. Towers,
Dalal Street, Fort,
Mumbai - 400 001,
Maharashtra, India

Scrip code 544164

Sub: Received new work order from Sirohi Infotech Private Limited having registered office at R-89, T/F Portion, Between R E Block Greater Kailash, Part - I, South Delhi, New Delhi, Delhi, India, 110048

Ref: Intimation under Regulation 30 and other applicable regulations of SEBI (Listing Obligation & Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015

Dear Sir/Mam,

In pursuance to Regulation 30 and other applicable regulations of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations & Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, ("the Listing Regulations"), we wish to inform to the stock exchange that the Company M/s. Faalcon Concepts Limited ("Faalcon") has received new work order from Sirohi Infotech Private Limited at Plot No. 15, Sector 127, Noida - 201313, Gautambuddha Nagar, Uttar Pradesh, India with order value amounting to Rs. 6,96,20,000/- (Rupees Six Crore Ninety Six Lacs and Twenty Thousand Only).

Kindly take the aforementioned submission on your records.

Thanking You

For **Faalcon Concepts Limited**

Ekta Seth
Managing Director
DIN 08141902

Annexure A

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
1.	Name of the entity awarding the Order(s)/Contract(s)	Sirohi Infotech Private Limited Registered Office at R-89, T/F Portion, Between R E Block Greater Kailash, Part - I, South Delhi, New Delhi, Delhi, India, 110048
2.	Significant terms and condition Order(s)/Contract(s) in brief	Monthly Billing Related to material delivery & performance
3.	Whether order(s)/contract(s) is awarded to domestic/International entity	Domestic
4.	Nature of Order(s)/Contract(s)	Glass, Glazing & windows
5.	Time Period if any associated with the Order(s)/Contract(s)	09 Months
6.	Consideration or size of the Order(s)/Contract(s)	Rs. 6,96,20,000
7.	Whether the promoter/promoter group Companies have any interest in that entity from whom the Order(s)/Contract(s) is awarded? If any nature of interest and details thereof.	No
8.	Whether the same would fall within related party transactions? If yes Whether the same is done at "arm's length"	No