

Date: 08.09.2025

To,
BSE Limited,
P.J. Towers,
Dalal Street, Fort,
Mumbai - 400 001,
Maharashtra, India

Scrip code 544164

Sub: Received new work order from LV Creations LLP for Glass, Glazing & windows for site at 2nd Floor, 29 Najafgarh Road, Moti Nagar, New Delhi – 110015, india,

Ref: Intimation under Regulation 30 and other applicable regulations of SEBI (Listing Obligation & Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015

Dear Sir/Mam,

In pursuance to Regulation 30 and other applicable regulations of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations & Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, ("the Listing Regulations"), we wish to inform to the stock exchange that the Company M/s Faalcon Concepts Limited ("Faalcon") has received new work order from LV Creations LLP having registered office address at Plot No 62 KH No 52 Roshan Mandi Najafgarh Near Shiva Ji Marg, South West Delhi, New Delhi, Delhi, India, 110041 for site at 2nd Floor, 29 Najafgarh Road, Moti Nagar, New Delhi – 110015, india, with order value amounting to Rs. 4.28,74,062/- (Four Crore Twenty Eight lacs Seventy Four Thousand Sixty Two Indian Rupees).

Kindly take the aforementioned submission on your records.

Thanking You

For **Faalcon Concepts Limited**

Ekta Seth
Managing Director
DIN 08141902

Annexure A

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
1.	Name of the entity awarding the Order(s)/Contract(s)	LV Creations LLP For site at 2nd Floor, 29 Najafgarh Road, Moti Nagar, New Delhi – 110015, india
2.	Significant terms and condition Order(s)/Contract(s) in brief	Billing related to performance
3.	Whether order(s)/contract(s) is awarded to domestic/International entity	Domestic
4.	Nature of Order(s)/Contract(s)	Glass, Glazing & windows
5.	Time Period if any associated with the Order(s)/Contract(s)	09 Months
6.	Consideration or size of the Order(s)/Contract(s)	Rs. 4.28,74,062
7.	Whether the promoter/promoter group Companies have any interest in that entity from whom the Order(s)/Contract(s) is awarded? If any nature of interest and details thereof.	No
8.	Whether the same would fall within related party transactions? If yes Whether the same is done at “arm’s length”	No